



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,337	12/02/2003	Yoshiki Kato	045237-0124	1380
22428	7590	09/22/2005	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			LEE, GUNYOUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,337	KATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gunyoung T. Lee	2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/09/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 09/06/2005.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I of which claims 1-10 are considered readable in the reply filed on 09/06/2005 is acknowledged.

Specification

3. The specification, exclusive of claims, is more than 20 pages. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5, lines 6-8 and in claim 10, lines 6-8, "the light from the light source is **not** effectively used when a predetermined light distribution pattern for a low beam is formed" contains a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure and fails to comply with the written description requirement (see MPEP § 2173.05(i)). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindae et al. (US 4,697,225).

8. In regards to claims 1-3 and 6-8, Lindae et al. disclose a headlamp having:

- A light source (9);
- A reflector (7) including a reflection surface for reflecting light from the light source (9);
- A projection (condenser) lens (17) that irradiates reflected light from the reflection surface (7) forward;

Art Unit: 2875

- Wherein the reflection surface includes a plurality of segments (11-16) (col. 2, lines 31-35) and is formed of a free-form surface obtained by deforming a reference ellipsoid of revolution (col. 1, lines 48-63);
- Wherein the light source (9) is arranged between a first focal point of the reference ellipsoid of revolution and the projection (condenser) lens (17), and closer to the first focal point than to the projection (condenser) lens (17) (col. 2, lines 24-29);
- Wherein segments forming one end (23) and other (24) end portions of a light distribution pattern include a wide area-illuminating reflection surface (13, 14) that makes the one end (23) and the other end (24) portions substantially a rectangular shape;
- Wherein the other end portion (24) is opposite to the one end portion (23) with respect to the center of the light distribution pattern;
- Wherein the reflection surface includes a first segment (15, 16) that forms diffused light at a center portion (25, 26) of the light distribution pattern, a second segment (11, 12) that forms spot light (21, 22) at the center portion, a third segment (13) that forms the one end portion (23), and a fourth segment (14) that forms the other end portion (24).

However, Lindae et al. does not disclose:

- A condenser lens (claims 1, 6);
- The reflection surface which is formed of a free-form surface obtained by stretching the reference ellipsoid of revolution in one direction and pushing down

Art Unit: 2875

the reference ellipsoid of revolution in other direction perpendicular to the one direction to deform the reference ellipsoid of revolution (claims 3, 8).

9. In regards to the condenser lens (claims 1, 6), Lindae et al. disclose that a project lens is provided as a light distributing means (col. 2, lines 29-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a condenser lens for the headlamp of Lindae et al. to provide illumination with increased intensity in order to improve the orientation of the driver on the roadway.

10. In regards to the forming process of the reflection surface with a free-form surface (claims 3, 8), Lindae et al. show a non-symmetric shaped reflection (7) with a long horizontal and short vertical dimensions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use stretching in horizontal direction while pushing in (orthogonal) vertical direction to form a non-symmetric free-form surface through a simple deformation process which requires a very low manufacturing cost.

11. Claims 4-5 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindae et al. (US 4,697,225) as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Takatsuji et al. (US 4,972,307).

12. In regards to claims 4-5 and 9-10, Lindae et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for:

Art Unit: 2875

- The segments forming the one end and the other end portions further include a diffuse reflection surface (claims 4, 5, 9, 10);
- A portion of the diffuse reflection surface includes a luminous intensity-improving reflection surface (claims 5, 10).

13. In regards to a diffuse reflection surface on the reflection surface having plural segments, Takatsuji et al. disclose a headlamp for a vehicle having a diffuse reflection surface (Fig. 3, 31, 36) (col. 4, lines 54-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the diffuse reflection surface of Takatsuji et al. for the one end (13) and the other end (14) portions of the reflection surface (7) as shown in Lindae et al. to provide the both end portions of the light distribution with a with horizontally diffused light pattern, which provides sufficient illumination to the areas located to the side of a vehicle running road. .

14. In regards to the luminous intensity-improving reflection surface included as a portion of the diffuse reflection surface, Lindae et al. disclose that additional segments (11, 15) of the reflector (7) produce light beam to improve the intensity at the center spot (21, 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the additional segments (11, 15) of the reflector (7) of Lindae et al. as a luminous intensity-improving reflection surface to produce the beams for the end portions (24, 23), which will provide high intensity illumination in a wide area without altering the overall light distribution pattern.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Neumann (US 5,215,368), Futami (US 6,007,223) and Saladin (US 6,554,460) show projection type lamps (headlights) having a lens and the reflectors with a plurality of segments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gunyoung T. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-8588. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GTL
9/19/2005


Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800